





Centre for Social Justice and Community Action

MAPPING ALTERNATIVE IMPACT

Alternative approaches to impact from co-produced research

Summary

The problem: Impact and co-production

The way "impact" has been identified and measured by RCUK and REF2014 does not fit well with co-produced/participatory research, and can deter rather than support this important form of knowledge production. This paradox is also present for co-production in other sectors (e.g. social policy, community organisations, the arts and social enterprises).

The key problem can be summarised as:

the attempt to measure "impact" as a concrete, visible phenomenon that is fixed in time and space, that one party does to another party... whereas

deep co-production is a process
often involving a gradual, porous and diffuse series of changes undertaken collaboratively.

This project was funded by ESRC via the N8 Research Partnership and Durham University Impact Acceleration Account.

It involved a series of activities to map and evaluate the range, processes and nature of impacts that arise from co-produced research.

Further details and the full report can be found at:

https://www.dur.ac.uk/beacon/socialjustice/prh/impact

Findings

1. Defining impact

Co-production means that we need a different understanding of impact

Co-production is centrally about impact. Here impact is not a separate stage or endeavour, but built in to research processes. The communities involved are well-placed to define likely impacts.

2. Scales of impact

Bigger is not always better

Diverse impacts from co-produced research may occur at micro as well as macro scales, from individual attitudes, to community capacity building, through to institutional or policy change.

3. Impact from process

Impacts happen all the way through co-production

As co-produced research involves long term engagement, impacts occur during the research (from process) as well as afterwards (as outcome). Neither research nor impact is linear.

4. Impact is mutual

Working together impacts us all

Impact is not something academic researchers 'do' for or 'give' to communities (the donor-recipient model). Co-production also impacts on academic knowledge, ideas and practice.

5. Ownership of impact

Impact is an exchange, not a commodity

As co-produced research processes are shared, there is often no distinction in ownership of the ideas, research design or findings that lead to impact.

6. Serendipity of impact

Impact can't always be planned or known

As co-production is open and dynamic, impacts cannot be fully known in advance. Serendipity is not just about chance; there are conditions that underpin serendipity which can be fostered.

7. Time for impact

Impact takes time, often the scarcest resource

Both co-production processes and the impacts that arise need time. This time is partly front-loaded – time for development and exchange of ideas, research questions and project design.

8. Relationships and impact

Co-production relies on good relationships

Relationships facilitate trust and the ability to work together. Impact is partly produced through people being together in shared spaces (embodied connection) rather than the fact of collaboration.

9. Emotions and impact

Feelings produce impacts produce feelings

The emotional dimensions of co-production are not side-effects, but active in generating impact. Alongside positive emotions, tension and disagreement are common, requiring ongoing negotiation.

10. Ethics of impact

"Nothing about us, without us"

In co-produced research, the ethical imperative is reframed as 'doing good'. Specific ethical concerns over pursuing impact include 'over-asking' and alienation of communities, their time and resources.

11. Demonstrating impact

Diverse impacts can be demonstrated in different ways

Wider means of demonstrating impact are needed in co-produced impacts: e.g. quantitative, qualitative, participatory methods; holistic, participatory, values-based approaches to evaluation.

12. Logistics for impact

Co-production also requires new infrastructure

The everyday logistics of how institutions commission, organise and support research do not fit the needs of co-production. Funding and audit are still largely based on a traditional model of research.

13. Impacting the University

To support communities with change, Universities must change

The structures and practices through which Universities work with communities also require change, if co-production is to be fully supported and have maximum impact.

14. Collective impact?

Stronger together

There is scope and appetite for collective impact - where institutions, organisations and individuals from different sectors work together, in up-scaled co-production towards agreed outcomes.

Recommendations

The full report details recommendations for funders, Universities and their research partners.

These centre on a number of shifts in institutional infrastructure - especially around research funding, research support and impact audit procedures - in order to recognise the differences in relationships, time and processes required for this approach to reach its full potential.

Report © The Authors, 2015:

Rachel Pain¹, Kye Askins², Sarah Banks¹, Tina Cook³, Grace Crawford⁴, Lee Crookes⁵, Stella Darby⁶, Jill Heslop⁷, Adam Holden¹, Maxine Houston¹, Jennifer Jeffes¹, Zoe Lambert⁸, Louise McGlen⁹, Clare McGlynn¹, Jo Ozga¹⁰, Ruth Raynor¹, Yvonne Robinson¹¹, Sue Shaw¹², Cheryl Stewart¹⁰, Dave Vanderhoven⁵

¹Durham University, ²University of Glasgow, ³Northumbria University, ⁴Groundworks North East, ⁵University of Sheffield, ⁵Leeds University, ⁷Open Clasp Theatre Company, ⁸Freelance Actor, ⁹Newcastle Council for Voluntary Service, ¹⁰Scottish Womens Aid, ¹¹London South Bank University, ¹²Independent Researcher

Cartoon @ Anna MacFarlane